

10F) FBS9




Safety Report: General Aviation Accidents Involving Visual Flight Rules Flight into Instrument Meteorological Conditions


(U.S.) National Transportation Safety Board Washington, DC

8 Feb 89




U.S. Besteri of Communico
Product Technical Industrial Industrial
Product Technical Industrial
Product







NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594




SAFETY REPORT





NTSB/SR-89/01



REPRODUCED BY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

| 1. | i i | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| erione eniconantidadel | Report No. NTSB/SR-89/01 | . Government Accession PB89-917001 | i No. 3. | Recipient's Ca | talog No. |
| 4. Title and Subtitle Safety Report-General Aviation Accidents Involving Visual Hight Rules Flight Into Instrument | | | ent 5. | Report Date February 8, 19 | 189 |
| ورد روان المعتملة والم | eorological Conditions uthor(s) | | 6. | Performing O Code | rganization |
| | | 8. | Performing O Report No. | rganization | |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address | | | 10. | Work Unit No 4936A | a ang Pingabanakan in ayar tidap laban naganakan in Apinin Mining I |
| National Transportation Safety Board Bureau of Safety Programs | | t ne laja namahasahasa 11. | Contract or G | rant No. | |
| | Washington, D.C. 20594 | | 13. | Type of Repor | |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address | | | naci izah merakunak | Aviation Accid | - " |
| NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD Washington, D.C. 20594 | | 14. | Sponsoring A | gancy Code | |
| | | | | | |
| 15. | Supplementary Notes | والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة المواقعة المواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة والمواقعة | فيتراجعه المتاركة والمتاركة والمتارك | kulphalogogu a gʻoʻya yulir iri Amellektrometrida asmillisistind Amell | Parlament di Naci (na igendinakan pinakada Akabasakada in in in ing |
| 15. | Supplementary Notes Abstract | general de la company de l La company de la compa | | desphalachepara ang sa parapan na Amerikakan makabaka mandhambala (na na Manapan na n | Parkator di Nasi ina spesification atomicale Replaceaspail in coff 2 - go Transiera |
| 16. Boar occu instr | Abstract This report presents a sid's Aviation Accident red between 1983 and umant meteorological a 276 fatal accidents w | atistical compilation of dat Data System. The data incl dearly 1987. In all of the conditions was listed as a p thich resulted in 583 fatality were airplanes; the remainder | ludes 361 g se accident probable ca ties. Ninet | peneral aviation s, visual flight r use or a related y-four percent | accidents that rule flight into I factor. There |
| 16. Boar occu instr | Abstract This report presents a sold's Aviation Accident the tween 1983 and umant meteorological accidents when these accidents to the terms of the | atistical compilation of dat Data System. The data incl d early 1987. In all of the conditions was listed as a p thich resulted in 583 fatalit vere airplanes; the remainder | ludes 361 g se accident probable ca ties. Ninet ar were heli | peneral aviation s, visual flight r use or a related y-four percent copters This docum- to the publicational Te Information | eccidents that rule flight into I factor. There of the aircraft statement ent is available through the echnical |

CONTENTS

| INTRODU | |
|----------|---|
| Table 1 | Accidents, Fatal Accidents, Fatalities, and Rates (All General Aviation) |
| Table 2 | Accidents, Fatal Accidents, Fatalities, and Rates (VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Chart 1 | Accidents (All General Aviation and VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Chart 2 | Accident Rate (All General Aviation and VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Chart 3 | Fatal Accidents (All General Aviation and VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Chart 4 | Fatal Accident Rates (All General Aviation and VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Chart 5 | Fatalities (All General Aviation and VFR Flight into IMC) |
| Table 3 | Persons by Position and Degree of Injury |
| Table 4 | Cause and Factor Citations |
| Chart 6 | Flightcrew-Related Causes and Factors by Category |
| Table 5 | Pilots By Age Group |
| Chart 7 | Age of Accident-Involved Pilots and Active Pilots |
| Table 6 | Pilots by Certificate and Age Group |
| Table 7 | Pilots by Certificate and Type of Flight Plan Filed |
| Table 8 | Pilots by Certificate and Phase of Flight |
| Table 9 | Pilots by Total Flight Time |
| Table 10 | Pilots by Experience in Make and Model |
| Table 11 | Aircraft by Pilot Ownership Status and Aircraft Type 21 |
| Table 12 | Percentage of Pilots with Instrument Rating by Type of Pilot Certificate |
| Table 13 | Pilots by Instrument Rating and Type of Aircraft |
| Table 14 | Pilot Instrument Experience |

| Table 15 | Pilots by Method and Source of Weather Briefing | 24 |
|----------|--|------------|
| Table 16 | Aircraft by Purpose of Flight and Accident Injury Index | 25 |
| Table 17 | Accidents by Location and Light Conditions | 25 |
| Table 18 | Aircraft by Type and Type of Clearance Received | 26 |
| Table 19 | Aircri 1 by Type of Flight Plan Filed and IFR Equipage | 26 |
| Table 20 | Accidents by Visibility Restrictions and Visibility | 27 |
| Table 21 | Aircraft by Make and Model | 28 |
| Table 22 | Accidents by Light Condition and Type of Precipitation | 29 |
| Table 23 | Aircraft by Damage and Day of Week | 30 |
| Table 24 | Accidents by Ceiting and Visibility | 30 |
| Table 25 | Accidents by Degree of Injury and State | 31 |
| El a min | ix ATitle 14 Code of Federal Regulation 91.105 VFR Weather Minimums ix BSafety Board Aviation Accident Data System | 3.5 3.7 |

INTRODUCTION

Between 1975 and 1986, accidents involving visual flight rule (VFR) flight into instrument meteorological conditions (IMC) accounted for 4 percent of all general aviation (GA) accidents but produced 19 percent of the resulting fatalities. While the GA accident rate was reduced by 37 percent over the 12-year period, the VFR flight into IMC accident rate decreased by 64 percent. Seventy-two percent of the VFR flight into IMC accidents were fatal which was substantially higher than the corresponding 17 percent of all GA accidents.

This report presents a statistical compilation of data from the National Transportation Safety Board's Aviation Accident Data System. The data includes 361 GA accidents that occurred between 1983 and early 1987. In all of these accidents, VFR flight into IMC was listed as a probable cause or a related factor. There were 276 fatal accidents which resulted in 583 fatalities. Ninety-four percent of the aircraft involved in these accidents were airplanes; the remainder were helicopters.

The Safety Board may designate more than one of its investigative findings as "probable causes" and "related factors" for an accident. For the 361 GA accidents reviewed, 1,121 probable causes and 1,714 related factors are cited (see table 4). Ninety-seven percent of these probable causes are attributed to the flightcrew-361 pilots, 8 copilots, and 2 dual students. Considering only flightcrew-related probable causes, 42 percent cite the manner in which weather information was obtained (or not obtained), assimilated, and used. Aircraft handling, another frequently-cited category in accidents involving VFR flight into IMC, accounts for 30 percent of flightcrew-related probable causes. Findings explicitly related to the crews' planning, decisionmaking, and judgment account for 14 percent; however, it would be reasonable to consider some of the weather-related probable causes (for example, preflight briefing service or flight into known adverse weather) in this category. Training- and experience-related findings do not appear to be a substantial component of the problem since they constitute only 3 percent of the flightcrew probable causes.

Although rarely cited in connection with the probable cause in the 161 accidents examined, environmental conditions account for 69 percent of related factors. Fifty percent of these factors involve weather conditions such as clouds, fog, or precipitation that may have reduced visibility or limited the airspace available for VFR flight. Most (28 of 31 percent) of the remaining (nonenvironmental) factors are attributed to the flightcrew and are distributed fairly uniformly among the five categories of flightcrew causes and factors depicted in chart 6.

Based on the tabulations presented in this data review, the following statistics describe pilots who were involved in VFR flight into IMC accidents:

- \$1 percent were between the ages of 40 and 59 (table 5);
- 71 percent held a private pilot's certificate (table 6);
- 52 percent had fess than 500 total flight hours (table 9);
- # 46 percent had less than 100 flight hours in the type aircraft (table 10);

When this data was compiled, all accidents that occurred since 1983 in which VFR flight into IMC was cited as a probable cause of a related factor were refected. At that time, some of the calendar year 1986 accident investigations had not been finalized, but some 1987 cases were complete. This group of accidents approximate the characteristics of the population of VFR flight into IMC accidents for the years 1983-86. The numbers of VFR into IMC accidents presented in tables 1 and 2 as well as Charts 1 through 5 were derived after the data review sample was chosen. Therefore, the tables and charts reflect a larger number of accidents in the period 1983-86.

- 77 percent were not instrument rated (table 12);
- 57 percent had less than 20 hours instrument time (table 14);
- 55 percent received a weather briefing from a flight service station or the National Weather Service (table 15);
- 79 percent had filed no flight plan (table 19);
- 83 percent were flying a single-engine airplane (table 13);
- 62 percent were flying their own aircraft (table 11);
- 75 percent were flying for personal reasons (table 16);
- 62 percent were in the cruise phase of operation when the accident occurred (table 8);
- 61 percent crashed in fog or ground fog (table 20); and
- 75 percent were killed (table 3).

TABLE 1 - ACCIDENTS, FATAL ACCIDENTS, FATALITIES, AND RATES ALL GENERAL AVIATION 1975 - 1966

| Year | Accidents | Fatal Accidents | Percent Fatal | Fatalities |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| 1975 | 3995 | 633 | 15.8 | 1252 |
| 1976 | 4018 | 658 | 16.4 | 1216 |
| 1977 | 4079 | 661 | 16.2 | 1276 |
| 1978 | 4216 | 719 | 17.1 | 1556 |
| 1979 | 3818 | 631 | 16.5 | 1221 |
| 1980 | 3590 | 618 | 17.2 | 1239 |
| 1981 | 3500 | 654 | 18.7 | 1282 |
| 1982 | 3233 | 591 | 18.3 | 1187 |
| 1983 | 3075 | 555 | 18.0 | 1064 |
| 1984 | 3010 | 543 | 18.0 | 1039 |
| 1985 | 2741 | 498 | 18.2 | 952 |
| 1986 | 2581 | 471 | 18.2 | 961 |
| 1975-1986 | 41,856 | 7,232 | 17.3 | 14,245 |

Accident Rate per 100,000 * Aircraft Hours Flown

| | | are the less also has been for the less and the less are | | |
|-----------|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Year | Hours Flown | Total | Fatal | |
| 1975 | 20 700 000 | and and any has and The distribution of the trans | er en en en Es re | |
| | 28,799,000 | 13.87 | 2.19 | |
| 1976 | 30,476,000 | 13.17 | 2.16 | |
| 1977 | 31,578,000 | 12.91 | 2.09 | |
| 1978 | 34,887,000 | 12.08 | 2.06 | |
| 1979 | 38,641,000 | 9.88 | 1.63 | |
| 1980 | 36,402,000 | 9.86 | 1.69 | |
| 1981 | 36,803,000 | 9.51 | 1.78 | |
| 1982 | 32,095,000 | 10.06 | 1.84 | |
| 1983 | 31,048,000 | 9.90 | 1.79 | |
| 1984 | 31,510,000 | 9.54 | ĵ.72 | |
| 1985 | 30,590,000 | 8.95 | 1,62 | |
| 1986 | 29,318,000 | 8.90 | 1.61 | |
| | कर्मक त्यांच्या अस्ति क्षेत्र त्यांच्या क्षेत्र त्यांच्या अस्ति त्यांच्या अस्ति त्यांच्या अस्ति त्यांच्या अस्ति | 4-4 Get and all the | en en en | |
| 1975-1986 | 392,147,000 | 10.67 | 1.84 | |
| | | | | |

^{*} Suicide and sabotage accidents excluded from rates as follows:


TABLE 2 - ACCIDENTS, FATAL ACCIDENTS, FATALITIES, AND RATES VFR FLIGHT INTO INC 1975 - 1986


| Year | Accidents | Fatal Accidents | Percent Fatal | Fatalities |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| 3070 | ********** | 9 9. ** | | 14 (2) (4) 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 |
| 1975 | 184 | 137 | 74.5 | 314 |
| 1976 | 165 | 97 | 58.8 | 213 |
| 1977 | 158 | 108 | 68.4 | 248 |
| 1978 | 187 | 137 | 73.3 | 293 |
| 1979 | 168 | 124 | 73.8 | 281 |
| 1980 | 140 | 102 | 72.9 | 220 |
| 1981 | 167 | 114 | 68.3 | 251 |
| 1982 | 126 | 98 | 77.8 | 215 |
| 1983 | 116 | 91 | 78.4 | 199 |
| 1984 | 97 | 75 | 77.3 | 158 |
| 1985 | 94 | 70 | 74.5 | 148 |
| 1986 | 68 | 52 | 76.5 | 97 |
| | or set my ten met | - | H * * * * | |
| 1975-1986 | 1,670 | 1,205 | 72.2 | 2,637 |


Accident Rate per 100,000 Aircraft Hours Flown


| | | مدية والله والله المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة والمراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة ال | | |
|-----------------|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Year | Hours Flown | Total | Fatal | |
| E-0 0-1 val 0-0 | SUE D-1 4/6 TLS DO SUI PE 200 -400 DO THE | 100 graph Phylor Suid Labor | क्रम कीए की व्यवस्था | |
| 1975 | 28,799,000 | 0.64 | 0.48 | |
| 1976 | 30,476,000 | 0.54 | 0.32 | |
| 1977 | 31,578,000 | 0.50 | 0.34 | |
| 1978 | 34,887,000 | 0.54 | 0.39 | |
| 1979 | 38,641,000 | 0.43 | 0.32 | |
| 1980 | 36,402,000 | 0.38 | 0.28 | |
| 1981 | 36,803,000 | 0.45 | 0.31 | |
| 1982 | 32,095,000 | 0.39 | 0.31 | |
| 1983 | 31,048,000 | 0.37 | 0.29 | |
| 1984 | 31,510,000 | 0.31 | 0.24 | |
| .1985 | 30,590,000 | 0.31 | 0.23 | |
| 1986 | 29,318,000 | 0.23 | 0.18 | |
| | | en sut er er | es to to to | |
| 1975-1986 | 392,147,600 | 0.43 | 0.31 | |
| | • | | | |

^{*} For the years 1975 through 1981, the Safety Board coding system contained a code for "Continued VFR flight into adverse weather conditions" which is not necessarily the same as the later coding system's "VFR flight into IMC." The absence of a detectable discontinuity in accident rates across the boundary between the two coding systems supports the assumption that the two codes have been used to indicate the same condition.












TABLE 3 - PERSONS BY POSITION AND DEGREE OF INJURY WER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | | Degree o | f Injury | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Position | Fatal | Serious | Minor | None | Total |
| Pilot Copilot Dual student Passenger | 270 7 2 304 | 31 1 0 35 | 23 0 0 31 | 37 0 0 49 | 361 8 2 419 |
| Total aboard | 583 | 67 | 54 | 86 | 790 |
| Person on ground | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand total Percent | 583 73.7 | 68 8.6 | 54 6.8 | 86 10.9 | 791 |

TABLE 4 - CAUSE AND FACTOR CITATIONS* VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Number of | Citations |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Cause or Factor | Cause |
| Aircraft | G M G 79 F G | WF \$8 1F 80 GA |
| Wing | y | 4 |
| Fluid, fuel | පි | 3 |
| Wing, spar | 3 | 1 |
| Flight control, stabilator | 3 3 3 2 | 0 |
| Fuel system, carburetor | 3 | 0 |
| Wing, bracing strut | 3 | 0 |
| Flight/nav instruments, directional gyro | | 1 |
| Landing gear, main gear | 2 2 2 | 1 |
| Comm/nav equipment | 2 | 0 |
| Flight/nav instruments, attitude indicator | 2 | 0 |
| Landing gear, nose gear | 2 | 0 |
| Vacuum system | 2 | 0 |
| Aircraft performance | 1 | 1 |
| Carburetor heat control, cable | 1 | 1 |
| Electrical system | 1 | 1 |
| Flight control,elevator | 1 | 7 |
| Fuel system, ram air | 1 | 1 |
| Autopilot/flight director | 1 | 0 |
| Door, passenger | 1 | 0 |
| Engine assembly | 1 | 0 |
| Flight/nav instruments, heading indicator | 1 | Ü |
| Fuselage | 1 | 0 |
| Instrument lights | 1 | 0 |
| Landing gear, nose gear assembly | 1 | 0 |
| Total Aircraft Causes / Factors | 53 | 15 |
| Facility | | |
| Air navigation aids, VOR | 1 | 0 |
| Airport facilities, rotating beacon | 1 | Ō |
| Airport facilities, runway edge lights | 1 | 0 0 0 |
| Approach aids | ī | Õ |
| Enroute charts | ī | 0 |
| Total Facility Causes / Factors | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 0 |

The numbers given in this table represent citations by the Safety Board of each probable cause or related factor in the 361 accidents reviewed. These numbers may be slightly higher than the number of accidents they represent. In relatively infrequent cases, a factor may be cited more than once in order to encode the sequence of events to accurately reflect the accident scenario.

TABLE 4 (Continued) - CAUSE AMD FACTOR CITATIONS VFR FLIGHT INTO 195C ACCIDENTS

| | Number of (| Citations |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Cause or Factor | Cause |
| Environment | 64 #F ## 50 ## / | The May been to a real |
| Terrain condition | 225 | 4 |
| Low ceiling | 215 | i |
| | 167 | |
| Fog Clouds | 93 | 2 |
| Dark night | 83 | 3 |
| Rain | 82 | 2 2 3 3 |
| Obscuration | 71 | Ú |
| Snow | 51 | ŏ |
| Tree(s) | 40 | ĭ |
| Thunderstorm | 22 | ż |
| Turbulence | 19 | õ |
| Icing conditions | 14 | Ö |
| | iż | ŏ |
| Night Whiteout | 12 | ŏ |
| Dusk | î | ŏ |
| Wire, transmission | îô | ŏ |
| Turbulence (thunderstorms) | ğ | ž |
| Haze | ğ | ō |
| | ğ | Ŏ |
| High wind | 6 | Ŏ |
| Guy wire Gusts | Š | ŏ |
| | Ā | Ö |
| Below approach minimums Turbulence in clouds | A A | 0 |
| Unfavorable wind | Å | ő |
| Dawn | 3 | ŏ |
| • | 3 | Ö |
| fence Lightning | 3 | Ő |
| Lightning | 3 | Ŏ |
| Utility pole Mountain wave | ž | Õ |
| Windsheav | 2 | Õ |
| Carburetor icing conditions | | Ö |
| Crosswind | Ŷ | ŏ |
| | 1 | ő |
| Daylight Downdraft | 1 | ő |
| | 1 | ő |
| Elect tower(marked) High density altitude | 1 | ŏ |
| | 1 | ŏ |
| Other person Residence | 1 | Ŏ |
| | <i>j.</i> 1 | 0 |
| Runway light | 1 | Ö |
| Vehicle | A. eri ya ek sir | ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ |
| Total Environment Causes / Factors | 1204 | 20 |

TABLE 4 (Continued) - CAUSE AND FACTOR CITATIONS VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Number of | Citations |
|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | Cause | with at the gas and gas and made |
| | factor | Cause |
| Filghtores | th dy ma to up to | 10) AB 60 600 |
| Obtaining and Using Weather Information | | |
| VFR flight into IMC | 364 | 240 |
| Flight into known adverse weather | 100 | 340 |
| Weather evaluation | 49 | 49 35 |
| Preflight briefing service | 40 | 35 17 |
| Weather forecast | 70 | 1 / A |
| In flight briefing service | 6 | 4 |
| In flight weather advisories | 3 | 4 2 |
| Hazardous weather advisory | ž | 1 |
| Weather observation | Ž | î |
| In flight weather avoidance assistance | Ĩ | i |
| Meteorological service | i | Ô |
| | محمد المجالب ا | de der von me |
| Subtotal | 575 | 454 |
| Aircraft Handling | | |
| Airplane handling | 64 | 63 |
| Proper altitude | 40 | 40 |
| Became lost/disoriented | 36 | 26 |
| Clearance | 29 | 29 |
| Design stress limits of aircraft | 25 | 25 |
| Altitude | 23 | 23 |
| Remedial action | 16 | 14 |
| Precautionary landing | 14 | 6 |
| Visual lookout | 13 | 10 |
| Airspeed | 12 | 12 |
| Procedures/directives | 12 | 10 |
| Flight to alternate destination | 11 | 4 |
| Descent | 7 | 6 |
| Stall | 6 | 6 |
| Directional control | 6 | 5 |
| IFR procedure Pull-up | 6 6 5 4 | 3 |
| Unsuitable terrain | 4 | 4 |
| VFR procedures | 4 | 3 |
| Climb | • | 3 |
| Spiral | 3 | 3 |
| Stall/spin | 3 | 3 |
| Low pass | J | 3 |
| Planned approach | ა ა | Z |
| Refueling | 3 | ζ, |
| Flight controls | 3 *) | i |
| Fuel supply | 3 3 3 3 2 2 | 665343333322122 |
| The state of the s | % n | G . |

TABLE 4 (Continued) - CAUSE AND FACTOR CITATIONS WER FLIGHT INTO 1 ACCIDENTS

| | Number of Citati | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Cause or Factor | Cause |
| | 1 3 6 5 6 1 | neusu. |
| Flightcrew (Continued) Aircraft Handling (Continued) | | |
| Maneuver | 2 | 2 |
| Missed approach | 2 2 | 2 2 2 1 |
| Stall/mush | | 2 |
| Airspeed(Vs) | 2 | 1 |
| Improper use of equipment/aircraft | 2 2 2 | 1 |
| Proper glidepath | 2 | Ī |
| Radio communications | | į |
| Total | 2 | į |
| Airspeed(Vmc) | į | į. |
| Compensation for wind conditions | i i | i |
| Decision height | Į. | į, |
| Emergency procedure | Å 1 | 1 |
| Proper alignment | Į. 1 | £ |
| Proper descent rate | 1 | 1 |
| Proper touchdown point | | Ô |
| Seat belt | * * * * | |
| Subtotal | 377 | 328 |
| Planning and Decision Making | | |
| In-flight planning/decision | 92 | 72 |
| Preflight planning/preparation | 86 | 46 |
| Judgement | 30 | 22 |
| Planning-decision | 21 | 12 3 2 0 |
| Operation with known deficiencies in equipment | 7 | 3 |
| Improper decision | 2 | 2 |
| Maintenance, 100 hour inspection | 1 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 239 | 157 |
| Psychological and Physiological | | |
| Spatial disorientation | 92 | 76 |
| Over confidence in personal ability | 68 | |
| Self-induced pressure | 23 | 8 |
| Physical impairment(alcohol) | 8 | 8 |
| Visual/aural perception | 6 | 2 |
| Over confidence in aircraft's ability | 4 | 15 8 8 2 0 0 |
| Fatigue | 3 | 0 |
| Pressure induced by others | 3 3 3 | 0 |
| Under confidence in personal ability | 3 | 0 |
| Visual/aural detection | 3 | 0 |
| | | |

TABLE 4 (Continued) - CAUSE AND FACTOR CITATIONS WIR FLIGHT INTO 1985 ACCIDENTS

| | Number of | Citations |
|---|---------------------|--|
| | Cause | the first the first the the pass was the |
| | Factor | Cause |
| Flightcrew (Continued) | es out på om did ye | مام منه علاء مام |
| Psychological and Physiological (Continued) Pressure | 2 | 2 |
| Diverted attention | 2 | 2 |
| Mental performance overload | ì | 1 |
| Anxiety/apprenhension | i | ń |
| Inattentive | i | 0 0 0 |
| Physical impairment(drugs) | i | Ŏ |
| Physical impairment(hypertension) | ī | Ŏ |
| | | Was took one fair |
| Subtotal | 222 | 113 |
| Training and Experience | | |
| lack of total instrument time | 96 | 20 |
| Lack of total experience | 13 | 4 |
| Lack of recent instrument time | 7 | i |
| Qual\fication Lack of total experience in kind of aircraft | D G | 0 |
| Experience | 5 2 2 | i A |
| Inadequate recurrent training | 2 | 0 |
| Lack of total experience in type of aircraft | 2 | 0 |
| Improper initial training | 1 | 1 |
| Inadequate transition/upgrade training | 1 | i |
| Lack of familiarity with aircraft | î | Î |
| Lack of total experience in type operation | ĵ | î |
| Inadequate training | ĩ | Ô |
| Lack of recent experience | ī | Õ |
| Lack of recent experience in type of aircraft | Ĭ | Ŏ |
| Lack of recent total experience | ī | Õ |
| Subtotal | 137 | 30 |
| Miscellaneous | | |
| Lack of familiarity with geographic area | 4 | 1 |
| Control tower service | 1 | Ó |
| Information insufficient | 1 | 0 |
| Instructions, written/verbal | 1 | 0 0 0 |
| Radar assistance to VFR aircraft | 1 | Ō |
| Stolen aircraft/unauthorized use | 1 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 9 | 1 |
| Total Flightcrew Causes / Factors | 1559 | 1083 |
| * | · - | |

TABLE 4 (Continued) - CAUSE AND FACTOR CITATIONS VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Number of Citation | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| | Cause or Factor | Cause | |
| Other Person | | | |
| Weather forecast | 3 | 2 | |
| Meteorological service | 2 | 0 | |
| Preflight briefing service | 2 | 0 | |
| Clearance | 1 | 1 | |
| Aircraft | 1 | 0 | |
| Lack of familiarity with geographic area | 1 | 0 | |
| Miscellaneous equipment | 1 | 0 | |
| Procedures/directives | 1 | 0 | |
| Radio communications | 1 | 0 | |
| Weather evaluation | 1 | 0 | |
| Total Other Person Causes / Factors | 14 | 3 | |
| | describe and say | \$12 Mc 4E MC | |
| Total All Causes / Factors | 2835 | 1121 | |




TABLE 5 - PILOTS BY AGE GROUP VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS, ALL GENERAL AVIATION ACCIDENTS, AND ACTIVE GENERAL AVIATION PILOTS

| | 500°03 I'' V 2 6. A | | Other Pilot Samples (Percent | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Age group of pilot | VFR FI into IMC | Pilots | Pilots in all GA | Active GA | |
| | Number P | _ | Accidents+ | Pilots** | |
| 15-19 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | |
| 20-24 | 20 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 8.6 | |
| 25-29 | 37 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 12.0 | |
| 30-34 | 47 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 13.5 | |
| 35-39 | 41 | 11.4 | 16.0 | 14.7 | |
| 40-44 | 52 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 13.8 | |
| 45-49 | 54 | 15.0 | 10.7 | 10.2 | |
| 50-54 | 49 | 13.6 | 10.1 | 10.8 | |
| 55-59 | 29 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 6.8 | |
| 60 and over | 29 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 7.8 | |
| Not reported | 2 | * * | | Mr pa | |
| Total Pilots | 361 | | | | |

^{*} Based on 359 pilots whose age was reported

⁺ Accidents which occurred between 1983 and 1986

^{** &}quot;1984 General Aviation Pilot and Aircraft Activity Survey", Federal Aviation Administration, 1985, p. 6.




TABLE 6 - PILOTS BY CERTIFICATE AND AGE GROUP VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

Pilot Certificate Pilots Airline Age group Total Percent of pilot Comm'1 Transpt None Student Private 0.3 0 15-19 5.5 20 20-24 15 0 37 10.2 25 1 25-29 13.0 47 0 36 30-34 41 0 11.4 27 35-39 14.4 52 0 41 40-44 15.0 0 54 45-49 36 14 49 13.5 30 50-54 0 29 8.0 22 55-59 4.2 0 15 12 60-64 3.9 14 0 9 65 and over 0.6 2 2 Not reported 361 Total pilots 255 70 18 1 17 70.6 19.4 5.0 0.2 4.7 Percent

TABLE 7 - PILOTS BY CERTIFICATE AND TYPE OF FLIGHT PLAN FILED VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Pilot Certificate | F11g | Type of oht Plan F | Pilots | | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | None | VFR* | IFR | Total | Percent |
| Student Private Commercial Airline transport pilot Not reported | 16 207 57 7 1 | 1 43 9 8 0 | 0 5 4 3 0 | 17 255 70 18 1 | 4.7 70.6 19.4 5.0 0.3 |
| Total pilots Percent | 288 79.8 | 61 16.9 | 12 3.3 | 361 | |

^{*} Includes those filed as Company VFR.

TABLE 8 - PILOTS BY CERTIFICATE AND PHASE OF FLIGHT AFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| DIT | nt | Car | + 4 | £ 4 | cate |
|-----|--------|-------|------|------------|----------|
| | I KJE. | 2.571 | 1. 1 | | 1.621.67 |

| | 400 to 100 400 tot 110 | क्षक जब क्षक क्षक कर के क्षक क्षक कर है. एक क्षक कर कर कर क्षक क्षक एट कर के के किए तह कि उस कर कर की की के क | | | | | Pilots | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Phase of | Stu- | Pri- | | | Not | day of the Col Fig. He day | - 002 the 201 HP HIN ON SHE END DA | | |
| F1ight* | dent. | vate | Comm'l | ATP | rept | Total | Percent | | |
| ACP HIST No. that side word that | ाईस केन्द्र संस्थे दिल | ம்சி சம் வ ா ஏர | ghtp sump inch sizes gight five | the fire agi | ža les chi del | wijsh will liter spill dies | FU 19 X4 49 47 99 89 | | |
| Takeoff | 4 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7.2 | | |
| C1 imb | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5.5 | | |
| Cruise | 8 | 169 | 35 | 12 | 1 | 225 | 62.3 | | |
| Descent | 2 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5.5 | | |
| Approach | $\bar{1}$ | 13 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 7.2 | | |
| Landing | 0 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 9.7 | | |
| Unknown | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.7 | | |
| Total Pilots | 17 | 255 | 70 | 18 | 1 | 361 | | | |
| Percent | 4.7 | 70.6 | 19.4 | 5.0 | 0.3 | | | | |

^{*} The phase of flight of the first accident occurrence

TABLE 9 - PILOTS BY TOTAL FLIGHT TIME VFR FLIGHT INTO IMC AND GENERAL AVIATION ACCIDENTS

| | Stud | y pilots | Pilots in all GA accidents (1983-1986) | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Total Time (in hours) | No. | Percent* | No. | Percent* | |
| (III nodi 2) | | M 40 52 00 10 10 107 | ରେଇ ବାଳ କିଲ | COA too And were with their train | |
| Under 100 | 30 | 9.3 | 1,498 | 13.8 | |
| 100 - 199 | 56 | 17.4 | 1,033 | 9.5 | |
| 200 - 299 | 30 | 9.3 | 739 | 6.8 | |
| 300 - 399 | 22 | 6.8 | 649 | 6.0 | |
| 400 - 499 | 28 | 8.7 | 475 | 4.4 | |
| 500 - 999 | 46 | 14.3 | 1,518 | 14.0 | |
| 1000 - 1499 | 16 | 5.0 | 854 | 7.9 | |
| 1500 - 1999 | 18 | 5.6 | 584 | 5.4 | |
| 2000 or more | 76 | 23.6 | 3,466 | 32.0 | |
| Not reported | 39 | ego éve god | 723 | * ~ ~ | |
| Total pilots | 361 | 100.0 | 11,539 | 100.0 | |

^{*} Based on 322 study pilots and 10,818 general aviation accident involved pilots for whom total flight time is known.

TABLE 10 - PILOTS BY EXPERIENCE IN MAKE AND MODEL VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Experience in | Pilots | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Make and Model (in hours) | No , | Percent* | | | |
| less than 10 10 - 19 20 - 29 30 - 39 40 - 49 50 - 99 100 - 199 200 - 299 300 - 399 400 - 499 500 - 999 1000 - 1499 1500 - 1999 2000 or more Not reported All pilots | 18 12 22 7 6 46 41 22 13 4 19 10 5 15 121 | 7.5 5.0 9.2 2.9 2.5 19.2 17.1 9.2 5.4 1.7 7.9 4.2 2.1 | | | |

^{*} Based on the 240 pilots for whom experience in make and model is known.

TABLE 11 - AIRCRAFT BY PILOT OWNERSHIP STATUS AND AIRCRAFT TYPE VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

Pilot Ownership Status Aircraft Bor- Unauth-Not Em-Owner Lessee Renter rower orized ployee Total Percent Aircraft Type rept 22 13 339 93.9 63 17 Airplane 219 300 83.1 13 Single engine 195 62 17 39 10.8 Multi-engine 9 6. 15 22 Helicopter 0 19 5.3 12 Single engine 0 1 0.8 Multi-engine 361 37 13 64 18 Total aircraft 224 5.0 0.3 10.2 3.6 1.1 17.7 62.0

Percent

TABLE 12 - PERCENTAGE OF PILOTS WITH INSTRUMENT RATING BY TYPE OF PILOT CERTIFICATE VFR FLIGHT INTO IMC PILOTS AND ACTIVE GA PILOTS

Percent with Instrument Rating Active GA Type of pilot Study Pilots Pilots Certificate 0.0 0.0 Student 34.0 7.1 Private 88.9 68.6 Commercial 100.0 97.9 Airline transport 70.1 23.3 Total pilots

^{* &}quot;1984 General Aviation Pilot and Aircraft Activity Survey", Federal Aviation Administration, 1985, p. 8.

TABLE 13 - PILOTS BY INSTRUMENT RATING AND TYPE OF AIRCRAFT VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | | | | | | Percent | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Pilet | Pilot Instrument Rating | | | | Study | Active GA Pilots' |
| Aircraft Type | No | Yes | Airpl | Helic | Study Pilots | Aircraft | Aircraft* |
| Fixed wing aircraft | 266 | 73 | (73 | 1)+ | 339 | 93.9 | 96.7 |
| Single engine Multi-engine | 250 16 | 50 23 | (50 (23 | 1) | 300 39 | 83.1 10.8 | 78.5 18.2 |
| Helicopter | 11 | 11 | (7 | 8) | 22 | 6.1 | 2.0 |
| Single engine Multi-engine | 11 | 8 3 | (5 (2 | 6) 2) | 19 3 | 5.3 0.8 | |
| Other (Gliders, etc) | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Total Pilots Percent | 277 76.7 | 84 23.3 | (80 | 9) | 361 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

^{* *1984} General Aviation Pilot and Aircraft Activity Survey*, Federal Aviation Administration, 1985, p. 14.

⁺ A pilot may hold an instrument rating in more than one aircraft type.

TABLE 14 - PILOT INSTRUMENT EXPERIENCE VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Instrument time | Pilots | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| (actual + simulated, in Hours) | No. | Percent* | | |
| Less than 10 | 92 | 48.9 | | |
| 10 - 19 | 16 | 8.5 | | |
| 20 - 29 | 9 | 4.8 | | |
| 30 - 39 | 7 | 3.7 | | |
| 40 - 49 | | 3.2 | | |
| 50 - 59 | 5 | 2.7 | | |
| 60 - 69 | 2 | 1.1 | | |
| 70 - 79 | 6 5 2 5 5 3 | 2.7 | | |
| 80 - 89 | 5 | 2.7 | | |
| 90 · 99 | 3 | 1.6 | | |
| 100 - 199 | 13 | 6.9 | | |
| 200 - 299 | 10 | 5.3 | | |
| 300 - 399 | 4 | 2.1 | | |
| 400 - 499 | i | 0.5 | | |
| 500 - 999 | 5 | 2.7 | | |
| 1000 - 1499 | 4 | 2.1 | | |
| 1500- or more | 1 | 0.5 | | |
| Not reported | 173 | 100 MH MH MM | | |
| All piïots | 361 | | | |

*Based on the 183 pilots for whom instrument experience was known.

TABLE 15 - PILOTS BY NETHOD AND SOURCE OF WEATHER BRIEFING VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

Method of Briefing Pilots Source* of TV/ In Tele-Acft Not Tele-Total Percent Weather Briefing phone radio radio rept type persn 143 39.6 0 143 No record of briefing+ 0 1 9 2.5 0 6 1 National Weather Service 3 Flight service station PATWAS** 52.9 0 191 27 142 26 Û 0 0 .8 0 0 1 .3 0 0 1 Company 0 1 0 .6 TV/radio weather 2 0 .6 0 Military 9 12 3.3 Source not reported 160 361 Total pilots 29 30 8.3 8.0 44.3 8.0 41.3 Percent

^{*}Pilots may have received weather briefings from more than one source.

^{*} No record of briefing does not necessarily mean that the pilot had received no weather information. He may have relied on an unofficial weather forecasting source or he may have obtained an automated weather briefing for which no record is maintained. In the event that a pilot is killed, the source of weather briefing received, if any, may not obtainable.

^{**}Pilot Automated Telephone Weather Answering Service.

TABLE 16 - AIRCRAFT BY PURPOSE OF FLIGHT AND ACCIDENT INJURY INDEX YER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Injury Index* | | | | Aircraft | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Purpose of Flight | fatal | Serious | Minor | None | Total | Percent |
| Personal Business Instructional Executive/corporate Aerial application Other use | 202 53 4 3 0 | 26 4 0 0 1 | 13 1 0 0 0 4 | 28 4 1 0 0 | 269 62 5 3 1 21 | 74.5 17.2 1.4 0.8 0.3 5.8 |
| Total aircraft Percent | 276 76.5 | 34 9.4 | 18 5.0 | 33 9.1 | 361 | |

^{*} The most serious injury sustained by anyone involved in an accident.

TABLE 17 - ACCIDENTS BY LOCATION AND LIGHT CONDITIONS VFR FLIGHT INTO INC STUDY ACCIDENTS

| | Acc | ident L | ocation | - - | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Light Conditions | Off air- port/ air- strip | On air- port | On air- strip | Not Rept | Acci Total | dents Percent |
| Dawn Daylight Night (dark) Night (bright) Dusk Not reported | 6 188 102 5 21 | 2 3 11 0 2 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 9 6 1 | 8 201 119 6 24 3 | 2.2 55.7 33.0 1.7 6.6 0.8 |
| Total Accidents Percent | 325 90.0 | 18 5.0 | 0.3 | 17 | 361 | |

TABLE 18 - AIRCRAFT BY TYPE AND TYPE OF CLEARANCE RECEIVED WER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Aircrai | ft Type | Ain | craft |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Type of Clearance | Air- plane | Heli- coptr | Total | Percent |
| None VFR Special VFR IFR Cruise VFR flight following Not reported | 301 15 7 7 1 5 3 | 18 2 1 0 0 0 | 319 17 8 7 1 5 | 88.4 4.7 2.2 1.9 0.3 1.4 |
| Total aircraft Percent | 339 93.9 | 22 6.1 | 361 | |

TABLE 19 - AIRCRAFT BY TYPE OF FLIGHT PLAN FILED AND IFR EQUIPAGE
WER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | 151 | R Equip | age | Airc | raft |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Type of Flight Plan Filed | Yes | No | Not reptd | Total | Per- cent |
| None Visual flight rules (VFR) Instrument flight rules (IFR) Company (VFR) Not reported | 201 41 12 4 2 | 59 9 0 2 2 | 24 5 0 0 | 284 55 12 6 4 | 78.7 15.2 3.3 1.7 1.1 |
| Total Aircraft Percent | 260 72.0 | 72 19.9 | 29 8.0 | 361 | |

TABLE 20 - ACCIDENTS BY VISIBILITY RESTRICTIONS AND VISIBILITY VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Visibility | Visibility Restrictions* | | | | | | | Accidents | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------|-------|------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| (in statute miles) | None | Haze | Smokė | Fog | Ground fog | | Blown dust | | Not rept | Total | Per- cent |
| Less than 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 36 | 10.0 |
| 0.5 - 0.9 | 1 | 1 | Ö | 27 | Ž | ō | Ŏ | 5 | ŝ | 39 | 10.8 |
| 1.0 - 1.9 | 2 | 3 | Ö | 29 | ī | Ď | Ŏ | Ä | 3 | 39 | 10.8 |
| 2.0 - 2.9 | 4 | 5 | ì | 22 | | Ŏ | Ŏ | i | 3 | 34 | 9.4 |
| 3.0 - 3.9 | 3 | 5 | Ö | 12 | Ō | Ō | Ō | Ō | 3 | 20 | 5.5 |
| 4.0 - 4.9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | - | Č | Ŏ | Ŏ | Õ | 12 | 3.3 |
| 5.0 and over | 46 | 12 | 2 | 35 | | ī | Ĭ | Š | 7 | 103 | 28.5 |
| Not reported | 4 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 2 | ō | Ö | 5 | 21 | 78 | 21.6 |
| Total accident | s 61 | 32 | 3 | 212 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 24 | 43 | 361 | |
| Parcent | 16.9 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 58.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 6.6 | 11.9 | 341 | |

^{*} More than one visibility restriction may be reported for each accident.

TABLE 21 - AIRCRAFT BY MAKE AND NODEL VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Make | Mode 1 | Number |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Aero Commander | 520, 680 | 2 |
| Aerospatiale | SA365N | 1 |
| Beech | 23-24 | î |
| | 33, 35, 36 | 19 |
| | 45 | Ĩ |
| | 55, 95-55, 58 | Ä |
| | 76 | i |
| <i>1</i> 2. | 200 | 1 9 1 1 2 1 1 |
| Bell 1 | 2068, 206L | 9 |
| | 212 | 1 |
| 0.11 | UH-1 | 1 |
| Bellanca | 14-19 | 1 |
| | 17-30, 17-31 | 2 |
| Onedum | 8KCAB | 1 |
| Boeing | A75N1 | 1 |
| Britten Norman | BN-2A-8 | |
| Cessna | 120, 140, 150 series | 27 |
| | 170 series | 51 |
| | 180 series | 38 |
| | 195 | 1 |
| | 200 series | 31 |
| | 300 series | 10 |
| Champion | 400 series | 4 |
| DeHavilland | 7ECA | 1 |
| Douglas | Beagle 206 | 1 |
| Enstrom | AD-4NA 5 20 200 | 1 |
| Erceupe | F-28, 280 415-C | Z |
| Fairchild | BC-12, FN1100 | i |
| Gulfstream (Grumman) | AA-5, 681 | 2 |
| Helio | H-295 | 9 |
| Homebuilt | Warieze | j 1 |
| | Hart-Thorp, T-18 Tiger | |
| | Pitts, S-1 | I T |
| | Teratorn Arcrft, Tierra | 11 3 |
| Maule | N-4, M-5 | |
| McDonnell-Douglas (Hughes) | 269, 369 | 2 |
| Mitsubishi | NU-28 | 2 3 2 |
| Mooney | M20 | 9 |
| Navion | Rangemaster | 1 |
| North American Rockwell | 112 | 1 |
| Partenavia | P68 | î |
| | · - • | 4 |

TABLE 21 (Continued) - ACCIDENT AIRCRAFT BY MAKE/MODEL

| Make | Mode 1 | Number |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| whit to the party and | with 1970 was also day | **** |
| Piper | PA-18, PA-22 | 6 |
| • | PA-23 | 2 |
| | PA-24 | 2 5 |
| | PA-28, PA-32 series | 76 |
| | PA-60 series | |
| | PA-30 | 1 2 4 3 3 1 1 5 |
| | PA-31 | Ž |
| | PA-34 | 4 |
| | PA-38 | 3 |
| Robinson | R-22 | 3 |
| Ryan | ST-A | Ĩ |
| Sikorsky | S76 | ī |
| Stinson | 106, 150, SR6 | 5 |
| Total Aircraft | | 361 |

TABLE 22 - ACCIDENTS BY LIGHT CONDITION AND TYPE OF PRECIPITATION VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | | Light Condition | | | | | | A | | |
|------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Type of | *** | Dav- | Night | Night | | Not | ACC | idents | | |
| Precipitation* | Dawn | | | brite | Dusk | rept | Total | Percent | | |
| | **** | the ent and also dec | 41 to 10 An at | *** | 49% bes life also | 95 W W AE | 35 may 200 1/0 may | والمراجعة | | |
| None | 6 | 84 | 58 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 161 | 44.6 | | |
| Rain | 1 | 40 | 27 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 79 | 21.9 | | |
| Snow | 1 | 29 | 19 | 0 | 4 | Ö | 53 | 14.7 | | |
| Hail | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.1 | | |
| Rain showers | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | Ö | Ó | 16 | 4.4 | | |
| Freezing rain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Ö | 2 | 0.6 | | |
| Snow showers | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 4.4 | | |
| Drizzle | 0 | 17 | 10 | 0 | Ö | Õ | 27 | 7.5 | | |
| Freezing drizzle | 0 | 0 | Ó | Ò | ĺ | Ō | 1 | 0.3 | | |
| Not reported | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 5.0 | | |
| Total accidents | 8 | 201 | 119 | 6 | 24 | 3 | 361 | | | |
| Percent | 2.2 | 55.7 | 33.0 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 0.8 | | | | |

^{*} More than one precipitation type may be reported for an accident

TABLE 23 - AIRCRAFT BY DAVAGE AND DAY OF WEEK VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | ı | Aircrai | ft Dami | ige | Aircraft | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Day of Week | None | Minor | Subs | Dest | Total | Percent | |
| 50 to 50 an at 40 th 40 th to 50 an | ** ** ** ** | **** | AP 40 - 3 Mg 40 | **** | विके अपने काल काल man | | |
| Sunday | 0 | 0 | 18 | 46 | 62 | 17.2 | |
| Monday | 0 | 0 | 9 | 42 | 51 | 14.1 | |
| Tuesday | 0 | Ö | 4 | 3ù | 34 | 9.4 | |
| Wednesday | 0 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 49 | 13.6 | |
| Thursday | 0 | 1 | 10 | 39 | 50 | 13.9 | |
| Friday | 0 | 0 | 12 | 46 | 58 | 16.1 | |
| Saturday | 1 | 0 | 14 | 42 | 57 | 15.8 | |
| Total aircraft | 1 | 1 | 68 | 291 | 361 | | |
| Percent | 0.3 | 0.3 | 18.8 | 80.6 | ~~ | | |

TABLE 24 - ACCIDENTS BY CEILING AND VISIBILITY VFR INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| Visibility | | Lowest | Ceiling | (in fe | et abov | e groun | d level |) | Accid | ients |
|--------------------|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| (in statute miles) | None | <100 | 100- 199 | 200- 299 | 300- 399 | 400- 499 | 500 & over | Not rept | Total | Per- cent |
| Less than 0.5 | 0 | 0 | ŋ | 6 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 36 | 10.0 |
| 0.5 - 0.9 | 1 | Ò | 6 | 8 | ā | ŏ | 11 | .9 | 39 | 10.8 |
| 1.0 - 1.9 | Ž | ŏ | ŏ | 6 | ģ | ž | 9 | 10 | 39 | 10.8 |
| 2.0 - 2.9 | 2 | Ō | ž | Ŏ | 6. | Ě | 16 | 3 | 34 | 9.4 |
| 3.0 - 3.9 | ö | Ō | Ų | ŏ | 1 | Ŏ | 15 | | | |
| 4.0 - 4.9 | Ŏ | Ď | ň | 1 | 1 | , j | 13 | 7 | 20 | 5.5 |
| 5.0 and over | ž | t | ž | 1 | 1 | | - / | 16 | 12 | 3.3 |
| | £. | I | <u>ي</u> | 3 | ž | 1 2 | 77 | 16 | 103 | 28.5 |
| Not reported | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 58 | 78 | 21.6 |
| Total Accident | ts 7 | 4 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 12 | 151 | 117 | 361 | |
| Percent | 1.9 | 1.i | 5.5 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 41.8 | 32.4 | 301 | |

TABLE 25 - ACCIDENTS BY DEGREE OF INJURY AND STATE VER FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Degree of Injury | | | Acci | Accidents | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| State | None M | | Ser- ious F | atal | Total | Percent | |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California | 0 4 0 0 6 | 0 3 0 0 3 | 0 5 0 1 6 | 4 9 4 4 49 | 4 21 4 5 64 | 1.1 5.8 1.1 1.4 17.7 | |
| Colorado Connecticut Dalaware Florida Georgia | 1 0 0 0 | 0 | 3 0 0 1 | 16 4 1 16 4 | 20 4 1 17 4 | 5.5 1.1 0.3 4.7 1.1 | |
| Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 7 7 3 3 | 1 8 7 4 3 | 0.3 2.2 1.9 1.1 0.8 | |
| Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Massachusetts Michigan | 0 2 2 0 1 | 0 0 3 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 6 2 4 2 5 | 6 4 9 2 7 | 1.7 1.1 2.5 0.6 1.9 | |
| Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska | 1 0 2 1 1 | 0 1 1 0 0 | 2 1 0 0 | 3 0 4 4 5 | 6 2 7 5 6 | 1.7 0.6 1.9 1.4 | |
| Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York | 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 3 2 1 11 6 | 3 2 1 12 8 | 0.8 0.6 0.3 3.3 2.2 | |
| North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon | 2 1 1 0 1 | 1 0 0 2 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 2 3 2 4 6 | 7 4 3 6 | 1.9 1.1 0.8 1.7 1.9 | |

TABLE 25 (Continued) - ACCIDENTS BY DEGREE OF INJURY AND STATE VFR FLIGHT INTO INC ACCIDENTS

| | Deg | gree of | Accidents | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| State | None | Minor | Ser- | Fatal | Total | Percent |
| Pennsylvania Puerto Rico South Dakota Tennessee Texas | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 1 1 | 4 1 3 7 18 | 5 1 5 9 19 | 1.4 0.3 1.4 2.5 5.3 |
| Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wast Virginia | 2 1 0 0 | 0 1 0 | 3 0 0 1 1 | 4 3 6 11 3 | 10 4 7 12 4 | 2.8 1.1 1.9 3.3 1.1 |
| Wisconsin Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 6 | 4 7 | 1.1 1.9 |
| Total accidents Percent | 33 9.1 | | 34 9.4 | | 361 | |

BY THE NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

- /s/ JIM BURNETT Member
- /s/ <u>JOHN K. LAUBER</u> Member
- /s/ JOSEPH T. NALL Member

James L. Kolstad, Acting Chairman, disapproved and Lemoine V. Dickinson, Jr., Member, dissented. Member Dickinson filed the following concurring and dissenting statement.

Although I concur with the information that is presented in the narrative and the tables relative to accidents involving VFR into IMC conditions, I do not believe that we have analyzed the reasons that these accidents have occurred or the reasons why the numbers of accidents have decreased over time. It was my understanding that this was the purpose of this safety study and not just a compilation of several years worth of accident data. Therefore, I will approve the compilation of data, but would have preferred that the study indicate the reasons behind these changes.

February 8, 1989

APPENDIX A

TITLE14 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATION 91.105 BASIC VFR WEATHER MINIMUMS

VISUAL PLICET RULES

8 \$1,195 Basic VFR weather minimums.

(a) Except as provided in § 91.107, no person may operate an aircraft under VFR when the flight visibility is less, or at a distance from clouds that is less, than that prescribed for the corresponding altitude in the following table:

| Alletude | Flight visibility | Distance from cloud |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1,200 test or less above the surface (regardless of MSL | | |
| aletude) | 2 statute mules | 500 feet below |
| Wight controlled simplece | 3 statute redes | 1.000 feet above |
| | • | 2,000 feet honzonial |
| | 1 statute mile except as provid- | Clear of clouds |
| Outside controlled errepace | ed in \$ 91.105(b). | |
| | WO KI & D 1.100(0). | ĺ |
| Agre than 1,200 feet above the surface but less than 10,000 | | } |
| feet MSL | 3 statute miles | 500 feet below |
| Within controlled arrapace | 3 104010 11400 | 1,000 feet above |
| | İ | 2,000 feet horizontal |
| Cutade controlled arspace | 1 statute male | 500 feet below |
| COCCOS CONTROLOS EN SPECIA | | 1,000 feet above |
| | \ | 2,000 feet horizontal |
| store than 1,200 feet above the surface and at or above | 5 statute miles | 1,000 feet below |
| 10,000 feet MSL | | 1,000 feet above |
| TW/MAN/ TRUE TRANS | | 1 mie tyrnzontal |

(b) When the visibility is less than one mile, a helicopter may be operated outside controlled airspace at 1,200 feet or less above the surface if operated at a speed that allows the pilot adequate opportunity to see any air traffic or other obstruction in time to avoid a collision.

(c) Except as provided in § 91.107, no person may operate an aircraft, under VFR, within a control zone beneath the ceiling when the ceiling is less than 1,000 feet.

(d) Except as provided in § 91.107, no person may take off or land an aircraft, or enter the traffic pattern of an

airport, under VFR, within a control zone-

(1) Unless ground visibility at that airport is at least 3 statute miles; or

(2) If ground visibility is not reported at that airport, unless flight visibility during landing or takeoff, or while operating in the traffic pattern, is at least 3 statute miles.

(e) For the purposes of this section, an aircraft operating at the base altitude of a transition area or control area is considered to be within the airspace directly below that area.

[Amdt. 91-51, 23 FR 2992, Feb. 15, 1968]

APPENDIX B

SAFETY BOARD AVIATION ACCIDENT DATA SYSTEM

In 1983, the Safety Board implemented an improved and more comprehensive data base design. The Safety Board developed new accident data collection forms and designed a data base for storage and retrieval of accident data. The resulting Form 6120.4 consists of a "core" form for each investigation and 21 supplement forms each of which is completed if specified accident parameters are present.

A key component of the revised aviation accident data system is the Safety Board "sequence of events" coding system. This system replaced the previously-used cause and factor coding scheme in which 10 of the approximately 1,360 predefined items (i.e., aircraft components, pilot actions) could be associated with an accident to document its causes and related factors. The sequence of events was designed to offer the investigator greater flexibility when determining the probable events was designed to offer the investigator greater flexibility when determining the probable causes and related factors. The new system consists of approximately 2,000 "person," "modifier," causes and related factors. The new system consists of approximately 2,000 "person," "modifier," and "subject" codes that are combined to form "findings" (e.g., pilot-in-command-inadvertent-VFR flight into IMC). Each finding may be designated a cause or factor of the accident or may be included only to complete the coded description of the accident sequence of events.

#